arXiv:1401.4394v1 [math-ph] 17 Jan 2014

On the 2D zero modes' algebra of the SU(n) WZNW model

Ludmil Hadjiivanov and Paolo Furlan

Abstract A quantum group covariant extension of the chiral parts of the Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) model on a compact Lie group G gives rise to two matrix algebras with non-commutative entries. These are generated by "chiral zero modes" $a^i_\alpha\,, \bar a^\beta_j$ which combine, in the $2D$ model, into $Q^i_j = a^i_\alpha \otimes \bar a^\alpha_j$. The Q-operators provide important information about the internal symmetry and the fusion ring. Here we review earlier results about the $SU(n)$ WZNW Q-algebra and its Fock representation for $n = 2$ and make the first steps towards their generalization to $n \geq 3$.

1 Introduction

The object of our study, the "zero modes", appear naturally in the splitting of the (single valued) $2D$ WZNW field $G(x, \bar x) = (G^A_B(x, \bar x))$ into left and right quantum group covariant chiral components $g^A_\alpha(x)$ and $\bar g^\alpha_B(\bar x)$. The latter are necessarily quasiperiodic, i.e. have monodromies: for example, $g^A_\alpha(x + 2\pi) = g^A_\beta(x)\, M^\beta_\alpha$. The *chiral zero modes* $a = (a^i_\alpha)$ and $\bar a = (\bar a^\alpha_j)$ are assumed to diagonalize the left and right monodromy matrices, respectively, so that

$$G^A_B(x, \bar x) = g^A_\alpha(x) \otimes \bar g^\alpha_B(\bar x) = u^A_i(x) \otimes Q^i_j \otimes \bar u^j_B(\bar x)\ , \quad Q^i_j := a^i_\alpha \otimes \bar a^\alpha_j \qquad (1)$$

Ludmil Hadjiivanov
Elementary Particle Theory Laboratory, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE), Tsarigradsko Chaussee 72, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria, e-mail: lhadji@inrne.bas.bg

Paolo Furlan
Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Trieste, Strada Costiera 11, I-34014 Trieste and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Trieste, Italy, e-mail: furlan@ts.infn.it

(summation over repeated upper and lower indices is implicitly understood), where the chiral fields $u(x)$ and $\bar{u}(\bar{x})$ have diagonal monodromies. We call hereafter $Q := (Q^i_j)$ the matrix of *2D WZNW zero modes*.

The concept of chiral WZNW zero modes, classical or quantum, appeared in [1, 6, 2], and has been developed further in [15, 11, 13]. The Q-algebra has been studied, in the $SU(2)$ case, in [12]. It can be shown that a finite dimensional quotient of it, and the Fock representation thereof, provide a link to the internal symmetry and the fusion of the unitary WZNW model. We will describe below the first steps in attempt to extend this framework to $SU(n)\,,\ n \geq 3\,.$

2 Chiral WZNW zero modes

The (left sector) chiral zero modes' algebra $\mathcal{M}_q$ for the $SU(n)$ WZNW model at level k has been introduced in [15]. It is generated by the n mutually commuting operators q^{p_j} whose product is equal to the unit operator,

$$q^{p_i}q^{p_j} = q^{p_j}q^{p_i}\ , \qquad \prod_{j=1}^{n} q^{p_j} = 1\ , \qquad j = 1, \dots, n\ , \tag{2}$$

and by the entries of the $n \times n$ zero modes' quantum matrix $a = (a^i_\alpha)$ satisfying quadratic exchange relations,

$$\begin{aligned} &a^j_\beta a^i_\alpha\,[p_{ij} - 1] = a^i_\alpha a^j_\beta\,[p_{ij}] - a^i_\beta\,a^j_\alpha\,q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}p_{ij}} \qquad (\text{for} \quad i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \neq \beta)\ , \\ &[a^j_\alpha, a^i_\alpha] = 0\ , \qquad a^i_\alpha a^i_\beta = q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}}\,a^i_\beta a^i_\alpha\ , \qquad i, j\,, \alpha\,, \beta = 1, \dots, n \\ &(\,\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = -\epsilon_{\beta\alpha}\ , \quad \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha > \beta\ , \qquad [p] := \frac{q^p - q^{-p}}{q - q^{-1}}\,) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

the following mixed relations with q^{p_j} ,

$$q^{p_j}\,a^i_\alpha = q^{\delta^i_j - \frac{1}{n}}\,a^i_\alpha\,q^{p_j} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad q^{p_{j\ell}}a^i_\alpha = q^{\delta^i_j - \delta^i_\ell}a^i_\alpha\,q^{p_{j\ell}} \quad (\,p_{j\ell} := p_j - p_\ell\,) \tag{4}$$

and the (n-linear in the zero modes) inhomogeneous *determinant condition*

$$\frac{1}{[n]!}\,\epsilon_{i_1\dots i_n}\,a^{i_1}_{\alpha_1}\dots a^{i_n}_{\alpha_n}\,\varepsilon^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_n} =: \det(a) = \mathcal{D}_q(p) := \prod_{i<j}[p_{ij}]\ . \tag{5}$$

The ε-tensor in (5) is totally q-antisymmetric,

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_i\alpha_{i+1}\dots\alpha_n} = -q^{-\epsilon_{\alpha_i\alpha_{i+1}}}\,\varepsilon^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\dots\alpha_n}\ , \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1 \tag{6}$$

its non-zero components being given by

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_n} = q^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{4}}\,(-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} \qquad \text{for} \qquad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} n & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_n \tag{7}$$

(the length $\ell(\sigma)$ of an element σ of the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_n$ is equal to the number of *inversions* which, in our notation, are the pairs (α_i, α_j) such that $\alpha_i < \alpha_j$ for $i < j$) while $\epsilon_{i_1\dots i_n} = (-1)^{\ell(\eta)}$ for $\eta = \begin{pmatrix} n & \dots & 1 \\ i_1 & \dots & i_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_n$.

The exchange relations (3) originate from

$$\hat{R}_{12}(p)\, a_1\, a_2 = a_1\, a_2\, \hat{R}_{12} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \hat{R}^{ij}_{i'j'}(p)\, a^{i'}_{\alpha} a^{j'}_{\beta} = a^{i}_{\alpha'} a^{j}_{\beta'} \hat{R}^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{8}$$

where $\hat{R}_{12} = P_{12}R_{12}$, $\hat{R}_{12}(p) = P_{12}R_{12}(p)$, P_{12} is the permutation matrix, R_{12} the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum R-matrix for $U_q(s\ell(n))$ [4, 17] and $R_{12}(p)$ the corresponding *dynamical* quantum R-matrix [16, 5, 15]. Explicitly,

$$q^{-\frac{1}{n}}\,\hat{R}^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha'\beta'} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta'}\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha'} + (q^{-1} - q^{-\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}})\,\delta^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\delta^{\beta}_{\beta'}\,, \quad \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} 1\,, & \alpha > \beta \\ 0\,, & \alpha = \beta \\ -1\,, & \alpha < \beta \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

(our deformation parameter is $q = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{h}}$ where the *height* $h = k + n$) and

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{-\frac{1}{n}}\,\hat{R}^{ij}_{i'j'}(p) \;=\; a_{ij}(p)\,\delta^{i}_{j'}\delta^{j}_{i'} + b_{ij}(p)\,\delta^{i}_{i'}\delta^{j}_{j'}\,, \\ & a_{ii}(p) = q^{-1}\,, \quad a_{ij}(p) = \alpha(p_{ij})\,\frac{[p_{ij}-1]}{[p_{ij}]}\,, \quad i \neq j \qquad (\,\alpha(p_{ji}) = \frac{1}{\alpha(p_{ij})}\,)\,, \\ & b_{ii}(p) = 0\,, \quad b_{ij}(p) = \frac{q^{-p_{ij}}}{[p_{ij}]}\,, \quad i \neq j\,, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

respectively. Indeed, getting rid of the denominators in (10) and using the identity $[p-1] - q^{\pm 1}[p] = -\,q^{\pm p}$, we obtain (3) for $\alpha(p_{ij}) = 1$.

The right zero modes' algebra $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_q$ is generated by $\bar{a} = (\bar{a}^{\alpha}_{i})$ and $q^{\bar{p}_j}$. The relevant relations follow from the left sector's ones according to the rules

$$q \;\to\; q^{-1}\,, \qquad (a^{-1})^{\alpha}_{i} \;\to\; \bar{a}^{\alpha}_{i}\,, \qquad q^{p_j} \;\to\; q^{\bar{p}_j} \tag{11}$$

which can be justified e.g. by examining the classical chiral symplectic forms and the subsequent canonical quantization procedure [11]. Thus $q^{\bar{p}_j}$ satisfy relations identical to (2) as well as mixed exchange relations

$$q^{\bar{p}_j}\,\bar{a}^{\alpha}_{i} = q^{\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{n}}\,\bar{a}^{\alpha}_{i}\,q^{\bar{p}_{ij}} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad q^{\bar{p}_{j\ell}}\,\bar{a}^{\alpha}_{i} = q^{\delta_{ij} - \delta_{i\ell}}\,\bar{a}^{\alpha}_{i}\,q^{\bar{p}_{j\ell}}\,. \tag{12}$$

The right sector counterpart of (8) has the form

$$\hat{R}_{12}\,\bar{a}_1\,\bar{a}_2 \;=\; \bar{a}_1\,\bar{a}_2\,\hat{\bar{R}}_{12}(\bar{p})\,. \tag{13}$$

The fact that the *constant* R-matrices in (8) and (13) are the same ensures the local commutativity of the $2D$ field (1); there is no such requirement

however for the *dynamical* ones. Inserting explicitly the α-dependence in the notations of the dynamical R-matrices (so that e.g. $\hat{R}_{12}(p) \equiv \hat{R}^{(1)}_{12}(p)$ for $\alpha(p_{ij}) = 1$ in (10)) we observe that (13) becomes *identical* to (8) if we choose $\hat{\bar{R}}_{12}(\bar{p}) = {}^t\hat{R}_{12}(\bar{p}) \equiv \hat{R}^{(\alpha)}_{12}(\bar{p})$ for

$$\alpha(\bar{p}_{ij}) = \frac{[\bar{p}_{ij}+1]}{[\bar{p}_{ij}-1]} \quad (= \frac{1}{\alpha(\bar{p}_{ji})}) \ . \tag{14}$$

To this end we note that the constant R-matrix (9) is symmetric ($\hat{R}^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha'\beta'} = \hat{R}^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\alpha\beta}$, i.e. $\hat{R}_{12} = {}^t\hat{R}_{12}$) and that $q^{\bar{p}_{ij}}$ commutes with $\bar{a}^\alpha_i \bar{a}^\beta_j$, cf. (12), so there is no change in the argument of $\hat{\bar{R}}_{12}(\bar{p})$ when it is moved to the left of $\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2$ in (13). Getting rid of the denominators, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{a}^\beta_j \bar{a}^\alpha_i \,[\hat{\bar{p}}_{ij} - 1] = \bar{a}^\alpha_i \bar{a}^\beta_j \,[\hat{\bar{p}}_{ij}] - \bar{a}^\beta_i \,\bar{a}^\alpha_j \, q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\hat{\bar{p}}_{ij}} \qquad (\text{for} \quad i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \neq \beta) \ , \\ &[\bar{a}^\alpha_j, \bar{a}^\alpha_i] = 0 \ , \qquad \bar{a}^\alpha_i \bar{a}^\beta_i = q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}} \, \bar{a}^\beta_i \bar{a}^\alpha_i \ , \qquad \alpha, \beta, i, j = 1, \dots, n \ . \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

That (3) and (15) coincide is a desirable result, as the left and the right sector quantities appear in (1) on equal footing. It also suggests that the definition of $\det(\bar{a})$ and the condition it satisfies are identical to (5), up to exchanging upper and lower indices; note that (7) implies

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = \varepsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varepsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = [n]! \ := [n][n-1] \dots [1] \ . \tag{16}$$

The chiral matrix algebras generate Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}_q = \mathcal{M}_q \,|\, 0\rangle$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_q = \bar{\mathcal{M}}_q \,|\, 0\rangle$ with vacuum vector $|\, 0\rangle$ satisfying

$$p_{ij} \,|\, 0\rangle = (j - i) \,|\, 0\rangle = \bar{p}_{ij} \,|\, 0\rangle \ , \qquad a^i_\alpha \,|\, 0\rangle = 0 = \bar{a}^\alpha_i \,|\, 0\rangle \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq 1 \ . \tag{17}$$

Justification of (17) can be found in [11, 10]; we will only note here that the eigenvalues of p_{ii+1} and $\bar{p}_{ii+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ play the role of *shifted integral* $s\ell(n)$ *weights*.

For $q^h = -1$, the condition $[p_{ij}]\, v = 0$ $(i \neq j)$ for some vector $v \in \mathcal{F}_q \otimes \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q$ implies that $p_{ij}\, v = Nh\, v$ for some integer N. One infers from (3) (and similarly, from(15)) that

$$[p_{ij}]\, v = 0 \ \Rightarrow \ a^i_\alpha a^j_\beta \, v = a^j_\alpha a^i_\beta \, v \ , \quad [\bar{p}_{ij}]\, v = 0 \ \Rightarrow \ \bar{a}^\alpha_i \bar{a}^\beta_j \, v = \bar{a}^\alpha_j \bar{a}^\beta_i \, v \ . \tag{18}$$

Let e.g. $\mathcal{J}^{(h)}_q$ be the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{M}_q$ generated by the h-th powers of all a^i_α and the $2h$-th powers of $q^{p_{ij}}$. It is easy to see that the quotient $\mathcal{M}^{(h)}_q := \mathcal{M}_q / \mathcal{J}^{(h)}_q$ is non-trivial, due to the relation (valid for $i \neq j$, $\alpha \neq \beta$)

$$[p_{ij} - 1](a^j_\beta)^m a^i_\alpha = a^i_\alpha (a^j_\beta)^m [p_{ij}] - [m](a^j_\beta)^{m-1} a^i_\beta \, a^j_\alpha \, q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} p_{ij}} \tag{19}$$

generalizing the first Eq.(3) for any positive integer m. (Eq.(19) is easily be proved by induction, using the q-number relation $[p+m] = [p][m+1] - [p-1][m]$.) By a similar construction we obtain the quotient right sector zero modes' algebra $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_q^{(h)}$. We further define restricted Fock spaces and their tensor product

$$\mathcal{F}_q^{(h)} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{F}}_q^{(h)} = \mathcal{M}_q^{(h)} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{M}}_q^{(h)} \, |0\rangle \tag{20}$$

on which the algebra of Q-operators will act.

3 Q-algebra – the $n = 2$ case

A great simplification in the $n = 2$ case comes from the fact that the exchange relations combine with the determinant condition (5), which in this case is also bilinear in the zero modes, to form powerful operator identities.

For $n = 2$ and $q = e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{h}}$ the chiral Fock space $\mathcal{F}_q$ carries a representation of the $2h^3$-dimensional *restricted* quantum group $\overline{U}_q = \overline{U}_q(s\ell(2))$ generated by E, F, K such that $E^h = 0 = F^h$, $K^{2h} = 1$ [14]. The restricted Fock space $\mathcal{F}_q^{(h)}$ is h^2-dimensional. The entries of the $2D$ zero modes' matrix

$$Q = (Q^i_j) = \begin{pmatrix} Q^1_1 & Q^1_2 \\ Q^2_1 & Q^2_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

have the following properties [12].

- If $(a^i_\alpha)^h = 0 = (\bar{a}^\alpha_j)^h \;\; \forall\, \alpha \in \{1,2\}$, then $(Q^i_j)^h = 0$.
- Diagonal and off-diagonal elements of Q commute:

$$AB = BA\,,\; CA = AC\,,\; BD = DB\,,\; CD = DC\,. \tag{22}$$

- The triples A, D, L and B, C, N, generate two commuting $\overline{U}_q$ algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} &[A, D] = [L]\,, \quad LA = q^2 AL\,, \quad LD = q^{-2}DL\,, \quad L^{\pm 1} := -\, q^{\pm p} \otimes q^{\pm \bar{p}} \\ &[B, C] = [N]\,, \quad NB = q^2 BN\,, \quad NC = q^{-2}CN\,, \quad N^{\pm 1} := -\, q^{\pm p} \otimes q^{\mp \bar{p}} \\ &A^h = D^h = 0 = B^h = C^h\,, \quad L^{2h} = 1 = N^{2h} \quad (p = p_{12}\,,\; \bar{p} = \bar{p}_{12})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

- The vacuum representation of the off-diagonal Q-algebra is one-dimensional:

$$B\,|0\rangle = 0 = C\,|0\rangle\,, \quad N\,|0\rangle = -\,|0\rangle \qquad (\Rightarrow\; [N]\,|0\rangle = 0)\,. \tag{24}$$

- The diagonal Q-algebra generates an indecomposable representation of $\overline{U}_q$ (a *Verma module* [7, 8] $\mathcal{V}_1^+$, $\dim \mathcal{V}_1^+ = h$, with a 1-dimensional submodule),

$$\begin{aligned} &A \mid m\rangle = [m+1] \mid m+1\rangle\,, \quad D \mid m\rangle = [m+1] \mid m-1\rangle \quad (D\,|0\rangle = 0)\,, \\ &(L + q^{2(m+1)}) \mid m\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mid m\rangle := \frac{A^m}{[m]!}\,|0\rangle\,, \quad m = 0, \ldots, h-1\,. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

- The invariant hermitean scalar product on (25) (s.t. $A^\dagger = D\,,\ L^\dagger = L^{-1}$) is semidefinite, the $\overline{U}_q$-invariant subspace $\mathbb{C}\,|\,h-1\rangle \subset \mathcal{V}_1^+$ being isotropic:

$$(m' \mid m) = [m+1]\,\delta_{mm'} \equiv \frac{\sin(m+1)\frac{\pi}{h}}{\sin\frac{\pi}{h}}\,\delta_{mm'}\ , \quad m = 0,\dots,h-1\ . \tag{26}$$

Note that the dimension of the quotient space $\mathcal{V}_1^+/\{\mathbb{C}\mid h-1\rangle\}$ coincides with the number $h-1=k+1$ of (integrable) sectors in the unitary $\widehat{su}(2)_k$ WZNW model [3]. This is a manifestation of a much deeper result providing an interpretation analogous to covariant quantization of gauge theories [12]. Without going into details, we would like to call special attention to the fact that only the *diagonal* entries of the matrix Q (21) are represented non-trivially. It guarantees, together with (4) and (12), that the eigenvalues of p and $\bar p$ on the diagonal Q-vectors $\mid m\rangle$ (25) coincide.

4 Q-algebra – the general n case

The general n case is much harder to explore, partly because the n-linear determinant conditions for the chiral zero modes should be considered for $n \geq 3$ separately from the quadratic exchange relations. For this reason we will only comment below the extensions to higher n of the first two points listed in Section 3 for $n=2$, leaving the rest for a future work.

It turns out that the generalization of the first one is straightforward.

Proposition If $(a^i_\alpha)^h = 0 = (\bar a^\alpha_j)^h \quad \forall\,\alpha \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, then $(Q^i_j)^h = 0$.

Proof The indices i and j play no role here; introducing the "α-components" $Q_\alpha := a^i_\alpha \otimes \bar a^\alpha_j$ (no summation in α is assumed) of $Q^i_j = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n Q_\alpha$, we have

$$(Q_\alpha)^h = (a^i_\alpha)^h \otimes (\bar a^\alpha_j)^h = 0\ , \qquad Q_\alpha\,Q_\beta = a^i_\alpha a^i_\beta \otimes \bar a^\alpha_j \bar a^\beta_j = q^{2\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}}\,Q_\beta\,Q_\alpha\ . \tag{27}$$

We will perform the proof by induction in n, observing that

$$Q_\alpha\,(Q_1+\dots+Q_{\alpha-1}) = q^2(Q_1+\dots+Q_{\alpha-1})\,Q_\alpha\ , \quad \alpha = 2,\dots,n\ . \tag{28}$$

The calculation is based on the q-binomial identity (in fact, the case $n=2$)

$$Q_2Q_1 = q^2Q_1Q_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (Q_1+Q_2)^m = \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r}_+ Q_1^r Q_2^{m-r} \tag{29}$$

where $\binom{m}{r}_+ = \frac{(m)_+!}{(r)_+!(m-r)_+!}$, $(r)_+! = (r)_+\dots(1)_+$, $(r)_+ = \frac{q^{2r}-1}{q^2-1}$, implying

$$(Q_1+Q_2)^h = (Q_1)^h + \sum_{r=1}^{h-1}\binom{h}{r}_+ Q_1^r Q_2^{h-r} + (Q_2)^h = 0 \tag{30}$$

(Eq. (29) can be proved by induction in m). Eqs. (28), (29) and (30) imply

$$(Q_1+\cdots+Q_\alpha)^h=(Q_1+\cdots+Q_{\alpha-1})^h+(Q_\alpha)^h=(Q_1+\cdots+Q_{\alpha-1})^h\ , \quad (31)$$

etc. The following general formula can be proved by induction as well:

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}Q_\alpha\right)^h=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(Q_\alpha)^h+(h)_+!\sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_n=h\\0\le m_i\le h-1}}\frac{(Q_1)^{m_1}}{(m_1)_+!}\frac{(Q_2)^{m_2}}{(m_2)_+!}\cdots\frac{(Q_n)^{m_n}}{(m_n)_+!}=0\ . \quad (32)$$

■

In compliance with the final remark of Section 3, we will make the following

Conjecture *Any Q-monomial containing off-diagonal entries of Q annihilates the vacuum vector.*

Recall that in the $n=2$ case this property is valid, due to the general fact (following from (17)) that $Q^i_j\,|\,0\rangle=0$ for $i\neq j$ and the commutativity of the diagonal and off-diagonal entries of Q (22) which however doesn't hold in general but is replaced by the following corollaries of (3) and (15).

Lemma 1 *The entries of Q belonging to the same row or column commute:*

$$[Q^j_i,Q^\ell_i]=0=[Q^i_j,Q^i_\ell]\ . \quad (33)$$

Proof It is sufficient to explore the case in (33) when the different indices (j and ℓ) are carried by the left sector variables since the bar quantities satisfy identical relations. We obtain (assuming implicitly that equal upper and lower *greek* i.e. quantum group, indices are summed over all admissible values from 1 to n, if no restrictions are indicated under a summation symbol)

$$\begin{aligned}
&[p_{\ell j}-1]\,Q^j_i\,Q^\ell_i=[p_{\ell j}-1]\,(a^j_\beta\otimes\bar a^\beta_i)(a^\ell_\alpha\otimes\bar a^\alpha_i)=[p_{\ell j}-1]\,a^j_\beta a^\ell_\alpha\otimes\bar a^\beta_i\bar a^\alpha_i=\\
&=[p_{\ell j}-1]\sum_\alpha a^j_\alpha a^\ell_\alpha\otimes\bar a^\alpha_i\bar a^\alpha_i+\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}[p_{\ell j}-1]\,a^j_\beta\,a^\ell_\alpha\otimes\bar a^\beta_i\bar a^\alpha_i= \quad (34)\\
&=[p_{\ell j}-1]\sum_\alpha a^\ell_\alpha a^j_\alpha\otimes\bar a^\alpha_i\bar a^\alpha_i+\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}\left(a^\ell_\alpha a^j_\beta\,[p_{\ell j}]-\,a^\ell_\beta\,a^j_\alpha\,q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}p_{\ell j}}\right)\otimes\bar a^\beta_i\bar a^\alpha_i=\\
&=[p_{\ell j}-1]\sum_\alpha a^\ell_\alpha a^j_\alpha\otimes\bar a^\alpha_i\bar a^\alpha_i+\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}a^\ell_\beta\,a^j_\alpha\,(q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}}[p_{\ell j}]-q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}p_{\ell j}})\otimes\bar a^\beta_i\bar a^\alpha_i=\\
&=[p_{\ell j}-1]\,a^\ell_\beta\,a^j_\alpha\otimes\bar a^\beta_i\bar a^\alpha_i=[p_{\ell j}-1]\,Q^\ell_i\,Q^j_i\quad\text{i.e.,}\quad[p_{\ell j}-1]\,[Q^j_i\,,Q^\ell_i]=0
\end{aligned}$$

(we have applied (3), exchanged the dummy indices α and β in a term on the fourth line and then used the identity $q^\epsilon[p]-q^{\epsilon p}=[p-1]$ for $\epsilon=\pm1$). The first relation (33) $[Q^j_i,Q^\ell_i]=0$ follows since, by exchanging the upper (left sector) indices j and ℓ, we can also derive that

$$[p_{j\ell}-1]\,[Q^\ell_i\,,Q^j_i]=[p_{\ell j}+1]\,[Q^j_i\,,Q^\ell_i]=0\ , \quad (35)$$

and there is no vector on which the operators $[p_{\ell j}+1]$ and $[p_{\ell j}-1]$ vanish simultaneously. In a similar way one obtains from (15) that $[Q^i_j\,,Q^i_\ell]=0$. ■

Lemma 2 *The entries of Q belonging to different rows and columns satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}&([p_{ij}-1]\otimes[\bar p_{\ell m}]-[p_{ij}]\otimes[\bar p_{\ell m}-1])\,Q^i_\ell\,Q^j_m\quad(\equiv[p_{ij}-\bar p_{\ell m}]\,Q^i_\ell\,Q^j_m\,)=\\&=[p_{ij}-1]\otimes[\bar p_{\ell m}]\,Q^j_\ell\,Q^i_m-[p_{ij}]\otimes[\bar p_{\ell m}-1]\,Q^i_m\,Q^j_\ell\quad(\,i\neq j\,,\ \ell\neq m\,)\ .\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

Remark Below we will make use of the following q-identities:

$$\begin{aligned}&[p\pm1]\otimes[\bar p\,]-[p\,]\otimes[\bar p\pm1]=\mp\,[p-\bar p\,]:=\mp\,\frac{q^p\otimes q^{-\bar p}-q^{-p}\otimes q^{\bar p}}{q-q^{-1}}\ ,\\&[p\pm1]\otimes[\bar p\,]-[p\,]\otimes[\bar p\mp1]=\pm\,[p+\bar p\,]:=\pm\,\frac{q^p\otimes q^{\bar p}-q^{-p}\otimes q^{-\bar p}}{q-q^{-1}}\ ,\\&[p\,]\otimes q^{\epsilon\bar p}-q^{\epsilon p}\otimes[\bar p\,]=:[p-\bar p\,]\ ,\quad\epsilon=\pm1\ .\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

Proof Eq. (36) is suggested by (8) and (13), (14) implying

$$\hat R^{ij}{}_{i'j'}(p)\,Q^{i'}_\ell\,Q^{j'}_m=Q^i_{\ell'}\,Q^j_{m'}\,(\hat R^{(\alpha)})^{\ell'm'}_{\ell m}(\bar p)\tag{38}$$

but can be also verified directly with the help of (3), (15) and (37):

$$\begin{aligned}&[p_{ij}-1]\otimes[\bar p_{\ell m}]\,Q^j_\ell\,Q^i_m-[p_{ij}]\otimes[\bar p_{\ell m}-1]\,Q^i_m\,Q^j_\ell=\\&=[p_{ij}-1]\otimes[\bar p_{\ell m}]\sum_\alpha a^j_\alpha\,a^i_\alpha\otimes\bar a^\alpha_\ell\,\bar a^\alpha_m+\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}([p_{ij}]\,a^i_\alpha\,a^j_\beta-q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}p_{ij}}a^i_\beta\,a^j_\alpha)\otimes[\bar p_{\ell m}]\,\bar a^\beta_\ell\,\bar a^\alpha_m-\\&-[p_{ij}]\otimes[\bar p_{\ell m}-1]\sum_\alpha a^i_\alpha\,a^j_\alpha\otimes\bar a^\alpha_m\,\bar a^\alpha_\ell-\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}[p_{ij}]\,a^i_\beta\,a^j_\alpha\otimes(\,[\bar p_{\ell m}]\,\bar a^\alpha_\ell\,\bar a^\beta_m-q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar p_{\ell m}}\bar a^\beta_\ell\,\bar a^\alpha_m\,)=\\&=[p_{ij}-\bar p_{\ell m}]\sum_\alpha a^i_\alpha\,a^j_\alpha\otimes\bar a^\alpha_\ell\,\bar a^\alpha_m+\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}(\,[p_{ij}]\otimes q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar p_{\ell m}}-q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}p_{ij}}\otimes[\bar p_{\ell m}]\,)\,a^i_\beta\,a^j_\alpha\otimes\bar a^\beta_\ell\,\bar a^\alpha_m=\\&=[p_{ij}-\bar p_{\ell m}]\,Q^i_\ell\,Q^j_m\qquad(\,i\neq j\,,\ \ell\neq m\,)\ .\ ■\end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

Let us see what the above two Lemmas tell us in the cases involving diagonal entries of Q . Eq. (33) implies that

$$[Q^j_i,Q^i_i]=0=[Q^i_j,Q^i_i]\ ,\tag{40}$$

while Eq. (36) gives rise to the following relations valid for $i\neq j\neq\ell\neq i$ (which is only possible if $n\geq3$):

$$\begin{aligned}&[p_{ij}-1]\otimes[\bar p_{i\ell}]\,Q^j_\ell\,Q^i_i=[p_{ij}]\otimes[\bar p_{i\ell}+1]\,Q^i_i\,Q^j_\ell-[p_{ij}+\bar p_{i\ell}]\,Q^i_\ell\,Q^j_i\ ,\\&[p_{ij}]\otimes[\bar p_{i\ell}-1]\,Q^j_\ell\,Q^i_i=[p_{ij}+1]\otimes[\bar p_{i\ell}]\,Q^i_i\,Q^j_\ell-[p_{ij}+\bar p_{i\ell}]\,Q^j_i\,Q^i_\ell\ .\end{aligned}\tag{41}$$

So an off-diagonal Q-operator can jump over a diagonal one, except in cases when the p-dependent coefficients in the left-hand sides of the two identities (41) vanish simultaneously (note that the last terms of (41) only contain

off-diagonal Q-operators). Moreover, if $[p_{ij}]\,v = 0$ or $[\bar{p}_{i\ell}]\,v = 0$, then

$$[p_{ij}]\,v = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; Q^j_\ell\,Q^i_i\,v = Q^i_\ell\,Q^j_i\,v\ , \quad [\bar{p}_{i\ell}]\,v = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; Q^j_\ell\,Q^i_i\,v = Q^j_i\,Q^i_\ell\,v \quad (42)$$

by (18), so the only obstacle arises when we apply (41) to vectors v satisfying

$$[p_{ij} - 1]\,v = 0 = [\bar{p}_{i\ell} - 1]\,v \qquad \text{for} \qquad i \neq j \neq \ell \neq i\ . \quad (43)$$

The above facts open the possibility to prove the Conjecture by induction in the number of diagonal Q-operators applied to the vacuum, starting with $v_0 = |\,0\rangle$ and $v_1 = Q^1_1\,|\,0\rangle$. To find out if and when (43) occurs, we need to explore the space of diagonal Q-vectors $\mathcal{F}^{diag} = \{v \mid v = P(Q^n_n, \ldots, Q^1_1)\,|\,0\rangle\}$ and its subspace $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}^{diag}$ that is annihilated by all off-diagonal elements, $Q^r_s\,\mathcal{F}' = 0$, $r \neq s$. (In these terms our conjecture is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}' \stackrel{(?)}{=} \mathcal{F}^{diag}$.) The exchange relations for diagonal elements that follow from (36)

$$[p_{ij}] \otimes [\bar{p}_{ij} + 1]\,Q^i_i\,Q^j_j - [p_{ij} - 1] \otimes [\bar{p}_{ij}]\,Q^j_j\,Q^i_i = [p_{ij} + \bar{p}_{ij}]\,Q^i_j\,Q^j_i\ , \quad (44)$$
$$[p_{ij} + 1] \otimes [\bar{p}_{ij}]\,Q^i_i\,Q^j_j - [p_{ij}] \otimes [\bar{p}_{ij} - 1]\,Q^j_j\,Q^i_i = [p_{ij} + \bar{p}_{ij}]\,Q^j_i\,Q^i_j \quad (i \neq j)\ .$$

imply (as the eigenvalues of p_{ij} and $\bar{p}_{ij}$ on $\mathcal{F}^{diag}$ are equal)

$$[p_{ij} + 1]\,Q^i_i\,Q^j_j \;\approx\; [p_{ij} - 1]\,Q^j_j\,Q^i_i \quad (45)$$

where the "weak equality" sign refers to an identity that holds on $\mathcal{F}'$.

As already mentioned, these are just the first steps in our study of the Q-algebra and its vacuum representation for $n \geq 3$. The obvious immediate tasks are the completion of the proof of the diagonality conjecture and the description of $\mathcal{F}^{diag}$. To this end, one should take next into account (besides the bilinear exchange relations) the n-linear determinant condition (which suggests a basis in $\mathcal{F}^{diag}$ labelled by $su(n)$ Young diagrams [9]) and also some trilinear relations following from the chiral structure of the Q-operators. Together with $(Q^i_i)^h = 0$ (32) and (45), the latter seem to imply the finite dimensionality of $\mathcal{F}^{diag}$.

5 Discussion and outlook

It would be intriguing to look for a possible connection of the diagonal Q-algebra with the algebra of the (phase model) *"hopping operators"* $\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_n\}$ on a circle (also called *"affine local plactic algebra"*). The latter is characterized by the relations

$$[Q_i, Q_j] = 0\ , \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j \pm 1 \bmod n$$
$$Q_i\,Q_j^2 = Q_j\,Q_i\,Q_j\ , \quad Q_i^2\,Q_j = Q_i\,Q_j\,Q_i\ , \quad \text{if} \quad i = j + 1 \bmod n \quad (46)$$

and provides a description of the (unitary) $\widehat{su}(n)_k$ affine fusion ring [18, 19]. In contrast to our (diagonal) Q-algebra, it *does not* depend explicitly on the level k which only labels its representations. Although it is clear from the outset that the two algebras are not isomorphic, relations (46) can suggest the correct procedure needed to obtain the physical subquotient space for general n.

Acknowledgements The authors have benefited from discussions with I. Todorov and T. Popov. They thank the organizers of the 10th International Workshop "Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics" (LT-10, 17-23 June 2013) held in Varna, Bulgaria for the excellent conditions and the stimulating and friendly atmosphere. The work of L.H. has been supported in part by INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Italy and of P.F., by the Italian Ministry of University and Research (MIUR).

References

1. A.Yu. Alekseev, L.D. Faddeev, Commun. Math. Phys. **141** (1991) 413–422.
2. A.G. Bytsko, L.D. Faddeev, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996) 6324–6348 (q-alg/9508022)
3. P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory* (Springer, New York, 1997)
4. V.G. Drinfeld, Soviet Math. Dokl. **32** (1985) 254–258.
   V.G. Drinfeld, in Proc. ICM Berkeley 1986 vol 1 (Academic Press, 1986), p. 798
5. P. Etingof, A. Varchenko, Commun. Math. Phys. **196** (1998) 591–640 (q-alg/9708015)
6. F. Falceto, K. Gawędzki, hep-th/9109023.
   F. Falceto, K. Gawędzki, J. Geom. Phys. **11** (1993) 251–279 (hep-th/9209076)
7. B.L. Feigin, A.M. Gainutdinov, A.M. Semikhatov, I.Yu. Tipunin, Commun. Math. Phys. **265** (2006) 47–93 (hep-th/0504093)
8. B.L. Feigin, A.M. Gainutdinov, A.M. Semikhatov, I.Yu. Tipunin, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **148** (2006) 398–427 (math.QA/0512621)
9. W. Fulton, *Young Tableaux With Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry* (Cambridge University Press, 1997)
10. P. Furlan, L. Hadjiivanov, J. Phys. A **45** (2012) 165202, 16pp (arXiv:1111.2037 [math-ph])
11. P. Furlan, L. Hadjiivanov, A.P. Isaev, O.V. Ogievetsky, P.N. Pyatov, I. Todorov, J. Phys. A **36** (2003) 5497–5530 (hep-th/0003210)
12. P. Furlan, L.K. Hadjiivanov, I.T. Todorov, Nucl. Phys. B **474** (1996) 497–511 (hep-th/9602101)
13. P. Furlan, L.K. Hadjiivanov, I.T. Todorov, J. Phys. A **36** (2003) 3855–3875 (hep-th/0211154)
14. P. Furlan, L.K. Hadjiivanov, I.T. Todorov, Lett. Math. Phys. **82** (2007) 117–151 (arXiv:0710.1063 [hep-th])
15. L. Hadjiivanov, A.P. Isaev, O.V. Ogievetsky, P.N. Pyatov, I. Todorov, J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999) 427–448 (q-alg/9712026)
16. A.P. Isaev, J. Phys. A **29** (1996) 6903–6910 (q-alg/9511006)
17. M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985) 63–69.
18. C. Korff, C. Stroppel, Adv. Math. **225** (2010) 200–268 (arXiv:0909.2347 [math.RT])
19. M.A. Walton, SIGMA **8** (2012) 086, 13pp (arXiv:1208.0809 [hep-th])